## WATER QUALITY MEMORANDUM

## **Utah Coal Regulatory Program**

March 2, 2010

TO:	I	nternal File				
THRU	J: I	Daron Haddock, Permit Supervisor 03/02/10				
FROM	I: James D. Smith, Environmental Scientist III \( \sum_{03} \left  \( \sum_{02} \right  \) 10					
RE:	$\frac{2}{2}$	2009 Fourth Quarter Water Monitoring, Andalex Re Loadout, C/007/0033, Task ID # 3448	esources, Inc., V	<u>Wildcat</u>		
The Wildcat Loadout has no coal extraction operations. The monitoring plan is described in the MRP on page 137 and in Tables IV-10 and IV-11. Quarterly reports submitted by the Permittee indicate that observed flow has been very rare: most recent - March 2003 at WCW-1 and July 2007 at UPDES discharge point WCW-E 005.						
1.	Were da	Vere data submitted for all of the MRP required sites?				
	There is	no spring, well, or in-mine monitoring at this site.				
	Streams	3	YES 🖂	NO 🗌		
There was no flow at MRP surface-water monitoring points WCW-1, WCW-2, WCW-3, and WCW-4 during the Fourth_Quarter of 2009.						
	UPDES		YES 🖂	NO 🗌		
002, W 2009.	There was no flow or discharge at UPDES monitoring points WCW-A 001, WCW-B VCW-C 003, WCW-D 004, WCW-E 005, and WCW-F 006 during the Fourth_Quarter of					
2.	Were al	l required parameters reported for each site?				
	Streams	<b>S</b> ,	YES 🖂	NO 🗌		
"No flow" was reported for all MRP stream monitoring points during the Fourth_Quarter of 2009.						

	UPDES	YES 🖂	NO 🗌		
Fourth	"No flow" or "no discharge" was reported for all UPDES discharge points during the a_Quarter of 2009.				
3.	Were any irregularities found in the data?				
	Streams	YES	NO 🗵		
	UPDES	YES 🗌	NO 🖂		
4.	On what date does the MRP require a five-year resampling of baseline water data?				
In the year preceding renewal, the MRP calls for one sample at low flow and one at high flow for baseline analyses. The permit was renewed $05/05/09$ : there were no reported flows or discharges during the year preceding renewal. The next renewal submittal is due $01/05/14$ and the next renewal is due $05/05/14$ . Because of the scarcity of flow events at this loadout, the monitoring plan should be clarified so that any flow during the year preceding permit renewal will be analyzed for baseline parameters.					
5.	Did the Permittee make a timely submittal of all data, i data, and satisfactorily explain irregular data?	ncluding initia YES 🔀	NO [		
6.	Does the Mine Operator need to submit more informat monitoring requirements?	ion to fulfill th	is quarter's NO ⊠		
7.	Follow-up from last quarter, if necessary.				
	None				
8.	Based on your review, what further actions, if any, do you recommend?				
	None				
O:\0070	033.WCL\Water Quality\WO3448.WO 09 04.doc				